UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/571,184	07/17/2006	David Morton	478.1077	9629	
	23280 7590 03/10/2011 Davidson, Davidson & Kappel, LLC			EXAMINER	
485 7th Avenue 14th Floor			OLSON, ERIC		
	New York, NY 10018			PAPER NUMBER	
			1623		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/10/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



## **UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. PATENT IN REEXAMINATION

10571184 7/17/2006 MORTON ET AL. 478.1077

Davidson, Davidson & Kappel, LLC 485 7th Avenue 14th Floor New York, NY 10018

 ART UNIT
 PAPER

 1623
 20110308

**EXAMINER** 

DATE MAILED:

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Commissioner for Patents**

Applicant's information disclosure statement, submitted February 17, 2011, and the enclosed references, have been fully considered and not found to be the basis for any new grounds of rejection against the claims as previously allowed in the notice of allowance submitted January 4, 2011.

The information disclosure statement contains two correspondences from foreign patent offices. Both documents present grounds of rejection against claims in related applications filed by the Applicant. However, neither document addresses the limitation in the previously allowed claims requiring that the composition used in the claimed method contain 2% w/w or more of leucine. As discussed in the notice of allowance submitted January 4, 2011, Applicant has disclosed that the combination of heparin and 2% or more of leucine, as required by the present claims, produces an unexpected improvement in the fine particle fraction of the composition, thereby overcoming any prima facie case of obviousness previously made in this application for adding 2% or more of leucine to such a composition. As discussed previously, because this effect is specific to the combination of heparin and leucine, references such as WO01/32144, which are generic to a large number of active agents, with no specific teaching of combining heparin and leucine, do not render the claims obvious.

/Eric S Olson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1623